A simple and versatile design concept for fluorophore derivatives with intramolecular photostabilization
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Intramolecular photostabilization via triple-state quenching was recently revived as a tool to impart synthetic organic fluorophores with 'self-healing' properties. To date, utilization of such fluorophore derivatives is rare due to their elaborate multi-step synthesis. Here we present a general strategy to covalently link a synthetic organic fluorophore simultaneously to a photostabilizer and biomolecular target via unnatural amino acids. The modular approach uses commercially available starting materials and simple chemical transformations. The resulting photostabilizer-dye conjugates are based on rhodamines, carbopyronines and cyanines with excellent photophysical properties, that is, high photostability and minimal signal fluctuations. Their versatile use is demonstrated by single-step labelling of DNA, antibodies and proteins, as well as applications in single-molecule and super-resolution fluorescence microscopy. We are convinced that the presented scaffolding strategy and the improved characteristics of the conjugates in applications will trigger the broader use of intramolecular photostabilization and help to emerge this approach as a new gold standard.
Organic fluorophores are a major driving force for the recent success of fluorescence-based methods, but they intrinsically suffer from transient excursions to dark states (blinking) and irreversible destruction (photobleaching)\(^1\)\(^{-2}\). Both processes fundamentally limit their applicability and have, for a long time, hampered the development of advanced microscopy techniques with single-molecule sensitivity\(^3\) or optical super-resolution < 250 nm (refs 2–4). Lüttké and colleagues\(^5\) introduced covalent binding of triplet-state quenchers and singlet-oxygen scavengers\(^6\) to organic fluorophores as a strategy to reduce the above mentioned effects. Such photostabilizer–dye conjugates with intramolecular triplet-state quenching have ‘self-healing’\(^7\) or ‘self-protecting’\(^8\) properties, preventing photodamage without the use of solution additives. This non-invasive strategy has clear advantages compared with commonly used approaches, where micro- to millimolar concentrations of organic compounds are added to the buffer system\(^9\)\(^{-15}\).

Intramolecular photostabilization was recently revived independently by two groups\(^16\)\(^{-19}\), to reduce photobleaching and blinking even in demanding applications such as single-molecule fluorescence microscopy\(^16\)\(^{-20}\) or in vivo imaging\(^16\). It was also shown that efficient intramolecular photostabilization can be achieved without additives in the buffer system\(^18\), minimizing potential influences on the biological system of interest. Applications of intramolecular photostabilization in biological or microscopy research are, however, still limited to proof-of-principle studies\(^5\)\(^{-6}\)\(^,\)\(^16\)\(^{-19}\). This is mainly due to the synthetic effort of photostabilizer–dye conjugates, which thus far requires a multi-step synthesis route\(^16\),\(^17\). These synthetic challenges represent a fundamental hurdle for researchers with limited organic chemistry experience to use this concept. Moreover, only a small number of bifunctional cyanine derivatives are currently available to synthesize photostabilizer–dye conjugates on specific biomolecular targets (DNA, RNA, proteins and antibodies)\(^16\),\(^17\), strongly restricting the choice of fluorophore type (chemical structure, redox potential, water solubility and so on) and photophysical properties (colour, brightness, fluorescence lifetime and so on). Especially the available cyanine fluorophores suffer from limited brightness and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) due to cis/trans isomerization\(^21\), a fact that emphasizes the urgent need for a synthetic strategy to study and use other classes of organic fluorophores via intramolecular photostabilization\(^18\)\(^{-22}\).

Here we introduce a versatile and simple design concept to synthesize photostabilizer–dye conjugates on a specific biomolecular target using unnatural amino acids (UAAs)\(^23\). UAAs were chosen as a scaffold that links multiple chemical units, that is, the fluorophore and photostabilizer to a specific target. The presented conjugation strategy is based on well-known chemical reactions with (commercially available derivatives of) synthetic organic fluorophores, photostabilizers and UAAs, which can be bound as a single moiety to a biomolecular target. Depending on the UAA scaffold, the chemical nature of the functional groups can be N-hydroxysuccinimide esters (NHS), alkynes, azides or other bio-orthogonal reactive functionalities. Two different UAAs were used to bind different rhodamine, carbopyronine and cyanine fluorophores (Alexa555, RhodamineB, KK114, ATTO647N and Cy5) covalently to a photostabilizer on distinct biomolecular targets. The synthesized fluorophore derivatives comprise of either a reducing or oxidizing photostabilizer in the form of the antioxidant Trolox (TX) or a nitrophenyl group\(^9\),\(^10\),\(^12\). We characterized their photophysical properties with single-molecule fluorescence microscopy on the biomolecular target DNA and observed significant increases in photostability for all compounds including suppression of triplet-based blinking. Secondly, photostabilizer–dye conjugates were synthesized, which allow labelling of biomolecules (DNA, antibodies and proteins) in a single step as with commercially available fluorophores. Finally, we demonstrate state-of-the-art applications of photostabilizer–dye conjugates in single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (smFRET) and super-resolution stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy, with significantly increased sensitivity and photostability of the conjugates compared to their non-stabilized parent fluorophores.

**Results**

**Amino acids for intramolecular photostabilization.** Figure 1 shows the central idea to use UAAs as a scaffold that links multiple chemical units, that is, fluorophore and photostabilizer onto a specific biomolecular target. The conjugation strategy is based on established chemical reactions (amide bond formation or click chemistry) using commercially available derivatives of synthetic organic fluorophores (F), photostabilizers (P) and UAAs.

As a proof-of-concept, we synthesized photostabilizer–dye conjugates of fluorophores that could thus far not be tested for intramolecular photostabilization due to limited scaffolding options. We focused on rhodamines and carbopyronines, that is, fluorophores from the ATTO and Alexa series, which are extremely popular for (life science) applications. (S)-Nitrophenylalanine, NPA (Fig. 2), was used as a scaffold for the first generation of compounds, which consists of single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) as the biomolecular target, a commercially available organic fluorophore (Alexa555, ATTO647N and Cy5) and the p-nitrophenyl group of the known photostabilizer NPA\(^16\),\(^17\),\(^19\) (Fig. 2, compounds 5, 6 and 7). The cyanine fluorophore Cy5 served as a ‘positive control’ experiment that permits to benchmark the effects of intramolecular photostabilization for different fluorophore classes with respect to published studies\(^16\)\(^{-20}\). The used DNA and its specific base sequence was selected because of the detailed photophysical characterization of various fluorophores on this target\(^11\),\(^18\),\(^19\),\(^24\)–\(^27\).

The synthesis shown in Fig. 2 was conducted starting from commercially available fluoresceinmethylxocarbonyl (Fmoc)-protected NPA (1), which was converted into the corresponding NHS-ester derivative (2) to react with a 5′-aminoalkyl functionalized ssDNA, yielding 3. Subsequent Fmoc deprotection of 3 was followed by a reaction of the resulting primary amine (4) with the commercially available NHS-ester derivative of Alexa555, ATTO647N or Cy5, to yield 5, 6 and 7, respectively. All compounds comprise an organic fluorophore and a p-nitrophenyl group for intramolecular photostabilization linked to the biomolecular ssDNA target (Figs 1 and 2) and are abbreviated ‘NPA fluorophore’ throughout the text. The non-stabilized control compounds were obtained via a direct reaction of the NHS-ester-activated fluorophores with the respective amino-modified ssDNA-NH\(_2\). The DNA–photostabilizer–dye conjugates were isolated by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC; see Supplementary Fig. 1) and characterized by ultraviolet–visible absorption spectroscopy and mass

![Figure 1 | Design concept for photostabilizer–dye conjugates.](Image)
spectrometry (Supplementary Figs 1 and 2). After purification, all compounds elute as single peaks in the HPLC chromatogram (Supplementary Fig. 1b,c) and display ultraviolet–visible absorption maxima characteristic for DNA (~260 nm) and the respective chromophore (~550/~650 nm) (Supplementary Fig. 2a). In addition, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry reveals a significant mass increase of the DNA–dye conjugates compared with the non-modified DNA (Supplementary Fig. 2b). See the Methods section and the Supplementary Information for further details on chemical synthesis and characterization of functionalized oligonucleotides and reactive precursor molecules.

Next, single-molecule fluorescence microscopy was used to benchmark the potential of the scaffolding approach with respect to photophysical parameters. Confocal scanning microscopy\textsuperscript{11,12,18,24} was used to investigate signal fluctuations and fluorescence lifetime, whereas total-integrated-reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy\textsuperscript{11,18} was employed to obtain quantitative photophysical values such as bleaching lifetime, fluorescence count rate, total number of photons and SNR ratio. The different fluorophore derivatives were immobilized according to published procedures on a streptavidin-coated microscope coverslip by hybridization to form double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) comprising a 3'-terminal biotin unit (Supplementary Figs 1b–11a)\textsuperscript{18,19}. All experiments described in this section were performed in the absence of oxygen, to minimize the convolution of triplet-state quenching by molecular oxygen and intramolecular photostabilization by NPA.

Using confocal microscopy, single immobilized fluorophores on DNA were identified as spots in the images and fluorescence time traces were recorded for each of the spots using single-photon counting detectors. The length of the fluorescence time traces gave an estimate of the photostability (the longer, the more stable), whereas fluctuations in the time traces indicated blinking due to transient population of dark states (such as the triplet state). The calculation of the autocorrelation function of the individual fluorescence time traces revealed the underlying time constants of the blinking kinetics (Fig. 3) and time-correlated single-photon counting was used to determine the fluorescence lifetime. The non-stabilized fluorophores, showed fast photobleaching and pronounced blinking on the corresponding dsDNA in deoxygenated PBS buffer (Fig. 3a,e and Supplementary Figs 3d, 5d and 7d), whereas the NPA derivatives showed a stable fluorescence signal over extended periods of time (Fig. 3b,f and Supplementary Figs 4d, 6d and 7d).

Fluorescence time traces of Alexa555, a rhodamine-based fluorophore, were characterized by short observation times and pronounced blinking on the timescale of 22 ± 6 ms in deoxygenated PBS buffer (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 3). This is a typical behaviour for rhodamines and is caused by population of the triplet state\textsuperscript{4}. The fluorescence lifetime of the sample was found to be 1.2 ± 0.3 ns (Supplementary Fig. 3). On conjugation of Alexa555 to the NPA scaffold (NPA–Alexa555, compound 5), the triplet-induced blinking diminished (negligible autocorrelation) and the overall fluorophore photostability and count rate increased to several seconds and 5–10 kHz, respectively, at ~0.3 kW cm\textsuperscript{-2} irradiance (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 4). Despite the overall increase in count rate (mainly due to abolishment of dark state transitions), the fluorescence lifetime of NPA–Alexa555 decreased to 0.9 ± 0.1 ns compared with the parent fluorophore, indicating the presence of singlet quenching by the NPA scaffold (Supplementary Fig. 4).

The photophysical behaviour of ATTO647N in deoxygenated PBS buffer was characterized by a mixture of short and long photobleaching times ranging from seconds to minutes with pronounced blinking on the millisecond timescale (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 5). The off-state lifetime associated with the blinking events was found to be 29 ± 5 ms and is attributed to the triplet state (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 5e)\textsuperscript{4}. This and the observed fluorescence lifetime of 4.2 ± 0.4 ns are in agreement with literature\textsuperscript{11,28}. Covalent binding of NPA to ATTO647N resulted in a homogeneous, bright, non-blinking and prolonged fluorescence emission (Fig. 3d). The fluorescence lifetime was reduced to 3.4 ± 0.2 ns for the majority of traces, again indicating dynamic singlet quenching by the NPA scaffold. Notably, >50% of the observed NPA–ATTO647N molecules did not photobleach within the 2 min observation period at excitation intensities of ≈0.66 kW cm\textsuperscript{-2} (see Fig. 3d and Supplementary Fig. 6). In addition, a small fraction (<30%) of NPA–ATTO647N molecules showed a reduced lifetime of around 2.6 ns in combination with a lower count rate pointing to stronger singlet quenching (Supplementary Fig. 6). Direct switching of a single NPA–ATTO647N molecule between the two states was observed and will be a topic of future research\textsuperscript{11}.

Results for Cy5 (Fig. 3e,f) were obtained at higher excitation intensities (4 kW cm\textsuperscript{-2}), to allow for a direct comparison with
previously published results using different approaches towards intramolecular photostabilization\(^{29}\). In agreement with earlier findings, the autocorrelation analysis of Cy5 fluorescent time traces\(^{18}\) revealed the presence of two different photophysical processes that were attributed to triplet blinking (11 ± 4 ms) and cis/trans isomerization (54 ± 12 μs)\(^{4,18,26,27}\). The fluorescence lifetime of Cy5 was found to be 1.65 ± 0.15 ns (compare also Supplementary Fig. 7)\(^{18,20}\). NPA–Cy5 (7) under identical conditions revealed bright and prolonged fluorescence emission with typical observation times of several seconds and, as revealed by the autocorrelation analysis, with negligible triplet-state blinking for \(~75%\) of the observed emitters (Fig. 3f). The remaining autocorrelation decay revealed the on–off transition due to cis/trans isomerization, with a lifetime in the order of \(~50–70\ mu\) (see Supplementary Figs 7 and 8), which therefore still restricts the overall achievable count rate for the cyanine fluorophores. In addition, a mono-exponential fit does not fully describe the experimental autocorrelation decay (see the
deviations between grey fit and black data in Fig. 3f), suggesting a remaining small triplet population with a lifetime <100 μs. A smaller population of molecules (≤25%) showed intensity fluctuations on completely different timescales (Supplementary Fig. 8), which is consistent with earlier reports\cite{18,19}, and its origin remains to be explained mechanistically. The fluorescence lifetime of NPA–Cy5 was found to be 1.60 ± 0.15 ns and is similar to the parent fluorophore, indicating that any reduction in the signal brightness of NPA–Cy5 is due to static quenching, that is, transient formation of non-fluorescent complexes between photostabilizer and fluorophore rather than dynamic singlet quenching. These findings are consistent with a subtle blue shift in the Cy5-absorption spectrum when bound to NPA (Supplementary Fig. 2a).

To further quantify the improved performance of the photostabilizer–dye conjugates, we used single-molecule TIRF microscopy to determine various parameters with high statistics. Movies with 100 ms integration time were recorded at laser excitation intensities of ~50–100 W cm\(^{-2}\), that is, a significantly lower excitation intensity than in the confocal scanning microscopy experiments, yielding different count rates and SNR ratios. Figure 4a shows a typical example of a camera frame with single Cy5 fluorophores. The number of fluorescent molecules per video frame was determined and the decay in number of molecules over subsequent image frames was fitted with an exponential decay (y(t) = C + A × exp(-t/t\(_{\text{bleach}}\))), to obtain the photobleaching lifetime \(t_{\text{bleach}}\) (Fig. 4a)\cite{18}. Background-corrected single-molecule time traces were extracted and used to determine the fluorescence count rate in kHz (Fig. 4a, brightness), the SNR ratio (Fig. 4a) and the total number of detected photons before photobleaching (Fig. 4a, \(N_{\text{total}} = \text{brightness} × t_{\text{bleach}}\)). The mean and s.d. of all values was derived from multiple \((n \geq 3)\) independent experiments. We benchmarked the performance of the photostabilizer–dye conjugates against the antioxidant TX as a solution additive in the deoxygenated imaging buffer (2 mM after 20 min ultraviolet treatment), which is a common standard for photostabilization in single-molecule experiments\cite{10,12}.

The data of the non-stabilized rhodamine Alexa555 revealed a brightness of \(1.5 \pm 0.2\) kHz, \(N_{\text{total}} = 5.1 \pm 0.4 \times 10^4\) and an SNR = 3.5 ± 0.3, whereas the photobleaching could be described by a mono-exponential decay with a time constant \(t_{\text{bleach}} = 34 \pm 26\) s (Fig. 4b). An improvement for most of the photophysical parameters was found for both adding 2 mM TX or Alexa555-NPA with up to a 20-fold increase in photostability, 25-fold increase in \(N_{\text{total}}\) and a 3-fold increase in SNR, whereas the brightness was found to be comparable.

Similar results were obtained for both the carbopyronine ATTO647N and the cyanine dye Cy5 (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Movie 1). The photobleaching time increased from \(t_{\text{bleach}} = 138 \pm 93\) s (ATTO647N) to 212 ± 52 s (NPA–ATTO647N) and 298 ± 45 s (ATTO647N + 2 mM TX), and from \(t_{\text{bleach}} = 7.0 \pm 1.5\) s (Cy5) to 139 ± 55 s (NPA–Cy5) and 384 ± 60 s (Cy5 + 2 mM TX). This results in reduced blinking and an increase in the total number of detected photons \((N_{\text{total}})\) and SNR from \(N_{\text{total}} = 1.9 \pm 0.7 \times 10^4\) and SNR = 1.6 ± 0.2 (ATTO647N) to \(N_{\text{total}} = 8.6 \pm 0.4 \times 10^4\) and SNR = 11.6 ± 1.7 (NPA–ATTO647N), and \(N_{\text{total}} = 2.0 \pm 0.7 \times 10^6\) and SNR = 17.4 ± 2.4 (ATTO647N + 2 mM TX), as well as \(N_{\text{total}} = 3.1 \pm 0.9 \times 10^4\) and SNR = 3.0 ± 0.7 (Cy5) to \(N_{\text{total}} = 6.4 \pm 1.6 \times 10^5\) and SNR = 11.6 ± 1.7 (NPA–Cy5), and \(N_{\text{total}} = 2.4 \pm 0.6 \times 10^6\) and SNR = 9.5 ± 1.2 (ATTO647N + 2 mM TX), that is, 20- to 50-fold increases.

The investigations in Figs 3 and 4 regarding the photophysical properties of rhodamines, carbopyronines and cyanines with intramolecular photostabilization revealed the following: (i) photobleaching and blinking could be efficiently removed using UAA scaffolding and (ii) all photophysical parameters were improved substantially compared with the parent fluorophore. (iii) Despite showing no decrease in brightness, rhodamines and carbopyronines showed singlet quenching, whereas cyanines show no reduction of the excited state lifetime. (iv) Solution-based healing using 2 mM TX remained more efficient than intramolecular photostabilization.

Despite the slightly lower photostability of compounds with intramolecular photostabilization compared with solution additives such as TX, this approach has unique advantages that compensate for these shortcomings. The UAA approach is thus far the only possible method for photostabilization when organic fluorophores are used under live-cell conditions, in experiments where the addition of a diffusion-based photostabilizer is not tolerated due to its toxicity or when the photostabilizer has an unwanted influence on properties of the system of interest\cite{31}. It is also the only viable option when diffusion-based photostabilization remains ineffective which could be caused by lack of collisions between the photostabilizer and the fluorophore\cite{2,3}.

**UAAs as a general scaffold for photostabilizer–dye conjugates.** The scaffolding strategy presented in Fig. 2 is restricted by the availability of commercial UAs with different (photostabilizing) residues and does not allow to use custom-made photostabilizers\cite{19}. We hence set out to generalize the approach and to allow linkage of three arbitrary moieties. The amino acid propargylglycine (PG; Fig. 5) represents a more versatile scaffold that can link three chemical groups via NHS and click chemistry (here, a \([3 + 2]-\)Huisgens cycloaddition between alkyne and azide derivatives). Hence, PG (8) provides the flexibility needed to combine any photostabilizer and fluorophore on a (bio)molecular target, assuming their availability as NHS- or click-reactive derivatives.

In the first synthetic step, racemic 8 was reacted with the NHS-ester derivative of TX (9). The resulting adduct 10 was converted into the corresponding NHS-ester derivative 11 and reacted with the 5′-aminoalkyl functionalized oligonucleotide to yield 12. Finally, the fluorophore Cy5 was bound using a copper-catalysed click reaction\cite{34} yielding 13, called TX–PG–Cy5 throughout the manuscript.

TX–PG–Cy5 (13) showed a very similar photophysical behaviour compared with NPA–Cy5, that is, removal of blinking and an increased photobleaching lifetime (Fig. 4d) with significant improvement factors (up to 11-fold). However, a higher heterogeneity was observed with ~60% of the molecules showing fluorescent traces with little intensity fluctuations (shorter bleaching lifetime; Supplementary Fig. 9). The remaining ~40% exhibited longer observation times with an increased amount of blinking events (Supplementary Fig. 9). A unifying fluorescence lifetime of 1.65 ± 0.15 ns was observed, which is equal to the parent Cy5. Several TX–PG–Cy5 molecules showed a typical behaviour for fluorophores with intramolecular photostabilization, where ‘bleaching’ or irreversible destruction of the stabilizer occurred before photobleaching of the fluorophore. Here the fluorophore drastically changed its emission pattern from stable to a blinking emission pattern that closely resembles the behaviour in the absence of photostabilizer (Supplementary Fig. 9d, bottom row, left panel: stable: 0–8.5 s; blinking: 8.5–10 s).

The PG-based fluorophore system showed to be a versatile scaffold where the photostabilizer and fluorophore can be varied independently of each other. This has the advantage that the fluorophore and photostabilizer can be matched, to obtain maximum photostabilization as was shown above for the fluorophore Cy5 and the photostabilizer TX.
Direct labelling of biomolecules. The synthesis and photophysical characterization of the photostabilizer–dye conjugates in the previous sections demonstrated the principle improvements in fluorescence emission properties by the UAA scaffolding approach. Yet, our overall goal is to show the potential of the new fluorophores in biological research. For this, the most important step is labelling biomolecules such as proteins, nucleic acids or antibodies. As shown in 'UAA s as a general scaffold for photostabilizer–dye conjugates', this usually requires a complex (multi-step) synthesis process, demanding larger amounts of substances, that is, both fluorophore and biomolecular target. However, the amount of biomolecules is often limited. We hence subjected to NPA (17) under basic conditions to yield 16 (Fig. 6). Subsequent activation with NHS/N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide in dimethylformamide (DMF) gave the NHS-ester derivative of the dye RhodamineB (14), which was subjected to NPA (15) under basic conditions to yield 16 (Fig. 6). Subsequent activation with NHS/N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide in dimethylformamide (DMF) gave the NHS-ester derivative of the NPA–RhodamineB conjugate (17). In a first step, we (as for the previous fluorophores) targeted this conjugate to ssDNA (18), which was done straightforward from 17 without further purification. The photophysical characteristics of the resulting compound were characterized when immobilized on glass in deoxygenated buffer.

Similar to the fluorophores studied before (see Fig. 3), RhodamineB showed strong blinking in deoxygenated PBS buffer with typical observation times until photobleaching on the Photobleaching time (s)

Figure 4 | Photophysical characterization of photostabilizer–dye conjugates and their parent fluorophores with single-molecule TIRF microscopy.

Data was recorded in aqueous PBS buffer at pH 7.4 in the absence of oxygen under continuous 640 nm excitation with ≈ 50 W cm⁻² or 532 nm excitation with ≈ 20 W cm⁻². (a) Representative image frame (left panel) showing single fluorescent molecules (10 × 10 μm, exemplarily for Cy5). Subsequent images recorded over a period of 500 s showed an exponential decrease in the number of fluorescing molecules with a photobleaching lifetime τbleach as shown for Cy5 (black), Cy5 with 2 mM TX (blue) and NPA–Cy5 (red) (middle panel). The curves shown were obtained by averaging over > 5 TIR movies. (b,c,d) Right panel: chemical structures (left panels) and respective photophysical parameters obtained from background-corrected fluorescence traces for Alexa555 (b, rhodamines), ATTO647N (c, carbopyronines) and Cy5 (d, cyanines). Values and error bars (s.d.) in bar graphs obtained from N > 500 molecules. For further details of the experimental techniques, data acquisition and analysis, see the Methods section.
timescale of 10–20 s (Supplementary Fig. 10). In contrast, RhodamineB displayed strongly heterogeneous blinking characteristics with very short or longer off times within one trace (Supplementary Fig. 10). Consequently, the autocorrelation function of this fluctuating signal could only be described by a bi-exponential decay with average off times peaking at 7 ± 4 ms and a significant fraction of values >20 ms, deviating from a normal distribution (Supplementary Fig. 10). This indicates the presence of multiple dark states or heterogeneous dye environments. Still, the blinking off times were in the same range as determined before for the triplet state of the structurally related rhodamine fluorophores, for example, Alexa555 (22 ± 6 ms, Supplementary Fig. 3) or ATTO647N (6 ± 2 ms) and are hence considered to be triplet related. Yet, the fluorescence lifetime of RhodamineB was found to be rather homogeneously distributed with an average of 3.1 ± 0.4 ns (Supplementary Fig. 10). Strikingly, NPA–RhodamineB on ssDNA showed strongly reduced blinking, an increased photostability resulting in a stable and non-blinking emission pattern with observation times of up to minutes (Supplementary Fig. 11) and an increased brightness (Supplementary Fig. 12). As shown in Fig. 6, to yield a thiol-reactive derivative of ATTO647N containing the photostabilizer NPA, the second strategy is also feasible for small quantities of reactive fluorophore species (<10 mg) that could be due to high prices of commercially available precursors or complicated synthesis. ATTO647N is a good example for a fluorophore that is often used in demanding fluorescence applications but is not readily available in large amounts. For these cases we optimized the synthesis route as shown in Fig. 7, to yield a thiol-reactive derivative of ATTO647N containing the photostabilizer NPA (Fig. 7, compound 25). As shown in ‘Biomolecular FRET study with photostabilizer–dye conjugates’, both maleimide derivatives can covalently bind to recombinant proteins via solvent-exposed cysteine residues (Fig. 6 compound 21 and Fig. 7 compound 26).

**Biomolecular FRET study with photostabilizer–dye conjugates.**

To show the benefits of intramolecular photostabilization in fluorescence applications with proteins, we studied the substrate-binding domain 2 (SBD2) of the Lactococcus lactis ABC transporter GlnPQ. Using smFRET and alternating laser excitation (ALEX) spectroscopy, the conformational states of the protein were monitored (Fig. 8a, open unliganded and closed liganded). The structural rearrangements of SBD2 on ligand binding causes a change of ~0.9 nm regarding the distance between two selected amino acids in the protein. As the FRET...
donor and acceptor are attached via maleimide chemistry at these positions in the protein (mutant of SBD2: T369C/S451C), the transfer efficiency $E^*$ reports on the conformational state of the protein. As described previously\textsuperscript{35}, SBD2 was labelled stochastically using appropriate mixtures of donor and acceptor fluorophores (details see Methods). To understand the effects of intramolecular photostabilization in FRET-based assays, we used different fluorophore combinations: Cy3B or RhodamineB as donor fluorophores and ATTO647N as the acceptor. In experiments described below, either the donor (RhodamineB) or the acceptor (ATTO647N) was stabilized via covalent linkage to NPA (synthesis see Figs 6 and 7).

We used smFRET and ALEX spectroscopy, as described in refs 33,35,36, to investigate the photophysical properties of the fluorophores when bound covalently to the protein and the biomolecular function of SBD2. ALEX is a valuable tool for both purposes, as it allows to distinguish the desired protein molecules containing both fluorophores, thus monitoring protein conformation (donor–acceptor species; Fig. 8b, $0.9 < S < 0.4$), from those labelled with only one species not providing distance information (donor only, $S > 0.9$; acceptor only, $S < 0.4$). Although $S$ relates to the relative fluorophore brightness and labelling stoichiometry, $E^*$ indicates the FRET efficiency and thus distance between the donor and acceptor (with larger values indicating small donor–acceptor distances), which is the final read out of the protein conformation. In addition, ALEX reveals photophysical artefacts such as blinking of donor or acceptor in the form of bridges between the three different subpopulations\textsuperscript{37}. For such measurements, the fluorescence emergence of the donor $F$ (DD) under green excitation, that of the acceptor $F$ (DA) when excited via FRET from the donor and that of the acceptor via direct red excitation light $F$ (AA) was determined (see Methods and refs 33,35,36). In our experiments, individual biomolecules were studied for short time periods of a few milliseconds, while diffusing through the excitation volume of a confocal microscope. The challenge of such an experiment is to acquire intense fluorescent bursts during the short observation time under the high excitation intensities of $10$ kW cm$^{-2}$.

The combination of Cy3B and ATTO647N is known for excellent photophysical performance resulting in fast data acquisition and superior histogram quality in smFRET with little bleaching artefacts and narrow distributions (Fig. 8c). For apo-SBD2 we find mean $E^*$ and $S$ of $0.48 \pm 0.08$ and $0.59 \pm 0.07$, respectively, with these labels\textsuperscript{35}. These values are in good agreement with our published work and the mean $E^*$ correlates with the expected interprobe distance of $\sim 4.9$ nm derived from the crystal structure.

Results of such quality are, however, only available when using $2$ mM TX as a photostabilizer in solution, seen from comparison of Fig. 8c,d. Here we show data of apo-SBD2 (labelled with Cy3B/ATTO647N) in the presence and absence of TX. In agreement with Kong et al.\textsuperscript{37}, the high excitation intensities used in our experiments promote acceptor signal fluctuations, that is, blinking and/or bleaching. Cy3B and ATTO647N can hence be seen as a FRET couple where the acceptor photostability is limiting. This appears as a prominent bridge between the donor-only and donor-acceptor population (Fig. 8d), altering both $E^*$/$S$-values substantially. Closer inspection of the histogram reveals that a significant portion of the molecules show these unwanted photophysical effects. Under these conditions, neither mean $E^*$ nor correction factors for accurate FRET determination are directly accessible. Besides the complete loss of information, the overall acquisition time has also increased in the absence of photostabilizer to obtain sufficient statistics from the relevant donor–acceptor species. It should be noted that such photophysical artefacts of the acceptor (Fig. 8d) are extremely problematic for data interpretation, as they suggest the existence of (non-biological) species in between the donor only and the actual FRET species (see $1D – E^*$ in Fig. 8d that can only be fitted by the sum of two Gaussians with $E^* = 0.19 \pm 0.07$ and $0.43 \pm 0.1$). Furthermore, it remains challenging to identify those when performing smFRET with green excitation in continuous-wave mode.

Strikingly, the bridge population can be removed by solely photostabilization of the acceptor fluorophore via scaffolding of ATTO647N to NPA. The ALEX data of apo-SBD2 with Cy3B/NPA–ATTO647N is shown in Fig. 8e. Here the bridge between the donor–acceptor and donor-only population is fully removed without the addition of stabilizer to the solution (Fig. 8d versus Fig. 8e). The resulting mean $E^*$/$S$ values are changed compared to apo-SBD2 with Cy3B/ATTO647N ($2$ mM TX in solution, Fig. 8b) accounting for decreased acceptor brightness; mean $E^*$ is now found at $0.34 \pm 0.09$ and mean $S$ at $0.67 \pm 0.08$ (Fig. 8e). These differences are, however, expected considering the results from ATTO647N on DNA, where a decrease in the overall brightness is observed on conjugation to NPA (Fig. 4c). It should be mentioned that differences in the donor–acceptor population relative to donor and acceptor only comparing the samples Cy3B/ATTO647N and Cy3B/NPA–ATTO647N are not solely due to photophysics but also due to different labelling ratios of the protein.

Next, we used Cy3B/NPA–ATTO647N to study the biomolecular function of SBD2 (Fig. 8b). On addition of the ligand glutamine, the mean $E^*$ changes from $0.36 \pm 0.1$ (open, interprobe
Figure 8 | Improving smFRET-ALEX measurements by using NPA-based fluorophore as FRET acceptor on the protein GlnPQ–SBD2. (a) Crystal structures of the SBD2 (T369C/S451C) open (left panel, PDB: 4KR5) and closed state (right panel, PDB:4KQP, after binding of the ligand glutamine shown in red) with label positions of donor (D) and acceptor (A). (b) Corresponding one-dimensional histograms of $E^*$-values for increasing amounts of ligand glutamine where an increased $E^* = 0.55 \pm 0.1$ (dashed line, closed conformation) becomes visible (as opposed to the open conformation, $E^* = 0.36 \pm 0.1$, dashed line). Excitation intensities of 30 kW cm$^{-2}$ at 532 nm and 20 kW cm$^{-2}$ at 640 nm; data evaluated with dual colour burst search and displayed with additional per-bin thresholds of $F_{DD} + F_{DA} + F_{AA} > 75$ and minimal number of counts per bin in ALEX histogram of 3. (c,d,e) 2D histograms of joint pair values of $S$ (labelling stoichiometry) and $E^*$ (FRET efficiency, that is, interprobe distance) of Cy3B/ATTO647N in the presence (c) and absence (d) of 2 mM TX and Cy3B/NPA-ATTO647N (e) without ligand glutamine. Excitation intensities of 30 kW cm$^{-2}$ at 532 nm and 20 kW cm$^{-2}$ at 640 nm; data evaluated with all photon burst search and displayed with additional per-bin thresholds of $F_{DD} + F_{DA} + F_{AA} > 100$ and minimal number of counts per bin in ALEX histogram of 3. (f,g,h) Histogram of fluorophore brightness values as determined from photon-counting histograms (PCHs) on single-molecule transits of labelled SBD2 diffusing through the observation volume, comparison of donor brightness $F_{DD}$ (f), acceptor brightness when excited via FRET, $F_{DA}$ (g) and acceptor brightness via direct red excitation $F_{AA}$ (h). Excitation intensities of 30 kW cm$^{-2}$ at 532 nm and 20 kW cm$^{-2}$ at 640 nm. (i,j,k) Dependence of the mean count rate of $F_{DD}$, $F_{DA}$ and $F_{AA}$ of the different samples for increasing excitation intensity, respectively.
distance of ~4.9 nm) to 0.55 ± 0.1 (closed, with a decreased interprobe distance of ~4.0 nm). A concentration of 200 μM saturates ligand binding and therefore results in a 100% population of the closed state (Fig. 8b). A ligand concentration of 1 μM, which is close to the Kᵢₜ-value of the protein 38, consequently results in a mix of open and closed states (Fig. 8b).

As fluorophore brightness and the resulting photon budget ultimately determine the quality of the final histograms including the necessary measurement time, we quantitatively analysed Cy3B/ATTO647N and Cy3B/NPA–ATTO647N by means of photon-counting histograms. Figure 8g,h shows the three relevant photon streams used to determine Eᵣ and S of Cy3B/ATTO647N (donor–acceptor: 0.9 > S > 0.4; bridge: 0.9 > S > 0.7) and Cy3B/NPA–ATTO647N (donor–acceptor: 0.9 > S > 0.4). F(DD) shows that the strongest donor quenching and hence the most efficient FRET is found for the addition of TX to Cy3B/ATTO647N, whereas molecules in the bridge (Cy3B/ATTO647N, no TX) show inefficient donor quenching due to a non-functional acceptor (Fig. 8f). Cy3B/NPA–ATTO647N and healthy molecules from the Cy3B/ATTO647N population with no TX show a similar performance of the acceptor-based donor quenching. F(DA) correlates directly with quenching in F(DD) as seen in Fig. 8g. Again, the best performance is observed from Cy3B/ATTO647N in the presence of TX, while molecules in the bridge show the lowest counts. A striking difference between the bridge and all other conditions is seen in F(AA), that is, direct excitation of the acceptor (Fig. 8h). The overall comparison suggest that NPA-based acceptor stabilization is sufficient to remove photophysical artefacts and hence make the smFRET useful for biomolecular studies. Further optimization of the data quality could, however, be obtained by additional donor stabilization of, for example, Cy3B in this case. This interpretation is further supported by excitation intensity-dependent count rates of Cy3B/ATTO647N and Cy3B/NPA–ATTO647N (Fig. 8i,j,k). NPA-based acceptor stabilization improves the saturation characteristics in all three channels but the addition of TX to the solution (resulting in stabilization of both donor and acceptor at the same time) remains superior in terms of achievable count rates.

To study the donor dependence in more detail, we repeated the above described experiments using RhodamineB/ATTO647N and NPA–RhodamineB/ATTO647N. Here, the photostability of the donor is the limiting factor for smFRET data quality. For RhodamineB/ATTO647N we find prominent donor blinking in the absence of photostabilizer (Supplementary Fig. 13). The bridge between the donor–acceptor and acceptor-only population can be removed by addition of TX in solution (Supplementary Fig. 13) or conjugation of RhodamineB to NPA (Supplementary Fig. 13). The overall magnitude of the observed effects is lower than for the case of acceptor bleaching/blinking in Fig. 8. Photon-counting histograms and the intensity dependence show a similar trend as before, that is, correlation between F(DD) and F(DA) with the wish to increase donor photostability as much as possible. Our data makes clear that NPA–RhodamineB can be used at significantly higher excitation intensities than RhodamineB, as triplet-state population was minimized and would allow for faster and better data acquisition (Supplementary Fig. 13).

Our results show that the simple addition of the NPA unit to the acceptor fluorophore is sufficient to gather reliable results from solution-based smFRET (Fig. 8). Although the addition of a stabilizer on the donor fluorophore can increase the overall available photon budget (which should always be maximized), the acceptor strictly requires a solution-based or covalently linked photostabilizer. We consequently suggest to stabilize the acceptor as a minimum and (if possible) also to stabilize the donor to maximize available count rates and hence data quality. We finally note that all smFRET results of SBD2 with the different pairs of fluorophores shown here are in good agreement with biochemical data from isothermal calorimetry and with our previous results, supporting our hypothesis of an induced-fit-type mechanism in GlnPQ 35.

In addition, it confirms successful labelling of the protein with the custom-made NPA derivative, and that the results from the established FRET assay are indeed independent of the fluorophore pair that is used to monitor the protein conformation 35. It is noteworthy that spectrally uncorrected apparent FRET is reported here accounting for absolute differences in mean Eᵣ-values from varying Förster radius R₀ of the fluorophore pairs used or variations in the setup alignment 35.

**Photostabilizer–dye conjugates in super-resolution microscopy.** A common tool in cellular far-field fluorescence microscopy is the use of immunofluorescence, where the majority of cells are fixed and individual structures or proteins are tagged using specific primary antibodies and fluorophore-labelled secondary antibodies. In recent years, pioneering developments in far-field fluorescence microscopy, so-called super-resolution microscopes, have revolutionized cellular imaging, allowing the visualization of biological structures with nanometre resolution, that is, beyond the physical diffraction limit that thus far prevented to resolve structures with a precision better than ~250 nm 2. Given the importance of photostability and brightness in such techniques, we investigated the potential of fluorophores with intramolecular photostabilization for immunofluorescence and specifically super-resolution imaging. For this, we have used the NHS ester of the dye KK114 (ref. 39) and its derivative NPA–KK114 (Supplementary Fig. 14) to directly tag secondary antibodies using standard procedures. These antibodies were used to specifically immunolabel nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) in fixed mammalian PtK2 cells. Figure 9a and Supplementary Fig. 15 depict that we could successfully apply NPA–KK114 to visualize the spatial distribution of these NPCs as shown in the representative confocal scanning images. However, it also becomes obvious that these complexes appear as rather large (~250 nm), blurred spots due to the diffraction limit. To increase resolution, we employed super-resolution STED microscopy 3,40, which in its most common application adds a STED laser to the confocal microscope that features a focal intensity distribution with a central zero, to allow imaging with subdiffraction spatial resolution. Figure 9b and Supplementary Fig. 15 clearly show the successfull implementation of NPA–KK114 in STED microscopy. The NPCs were much better resolved and appeared as much smaller spots (85–90 nm, which is a reasonable value considering the use of primary and secondary antibodies). When compared with conventional KK114, the NPA–KK114 showed an increased photostability under STED conditions. Repeated scanning of the same area of the cell revealed that fading of fluorescence was reduced for NPA–KK114, while brightness was only subtly increased (Fig. 9d–f, Supplementary Fig. 15 and Supplementary Movie 2). The improvement in photobleaching resistance under STED conditions via an intramolecular mechanism highlights future directions towards improved dynamic STED imaging without the need of adding (potential toxic) chemical compounds 41.

**Discussion**

Our results show that effective intramolecular photostabilization and removal of (triplet induced) dark states can be achieved for NPA- and PG-based fluorophore derivatives with similar or better photostabilization effects as previously introduced photostabilizer–dye conjugates. This shows that the UAA scaffold
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is a simple and useful tool to covalently link fluorophore, photostabilizer and biomolecular target in a modular manner. The presented approach has many advantages over existing strategies, as it is cost effective, requires low amounts of material, uses only well-known chemical transformations such as amide bond formation or click chemistry, requires standard purification procedures and has the potential to address various important biomolecular targets. Moreover, our conjugation strategy opens the possibility to apply intramolecular photostabilization to fluorophore classes that could not be studied before. This possibility broadens our understanding of intramolecular photostabilization and might provide a basis for further improvement of the photostabilizer–dye conjugates by studying the effects of fluorophore properties such as charge, redox potential or absorption/emission wavelength. For certain types of fluorophores, for example, oxazines and perylenes, conjugation to NPA is expected to show effects different from those described here considering their interaction with antioxidants, DNA bases and amino acids such as tryptophane. Intramolecular quenching of Alexa fluorophores observed with natural amino acids even suggests that labelling of proteins at strategic locations, for example, in the vicinity of aromatic amino acids, could be used for fluorophore stabilization without the use of UAAs, provided that a suitable combination of fluorophore and amino acids can be found. Intramolecular photostabilization remains, however, less effective compared to the use of diffusion-based photostabilization (see Fig. 4) as reported before. This shortcoming of intramolecular photostabilization is compensated by the fact that it is often the only viable option for applications such as live-cell imaging, for assays where the addition of photostabilizer remains ineffective or is not tolerated by the biological system. We are convinced that future work—also facilitated by the presented synthesis strategy—will allow solving this problem. We hypothesize that the properties of photostabilizer–dye conjugates can be optimized by parameters such as the linking geometry between fluorophores and photostabilizer. This is supported by published data of Cy5 derivatives, where we linked the aromatic nitro group to the fluorophore in a different way, compared with the compounds shown in this study (compound 7). These architectures show a significantly higher photostability using basically the same photostabilizer moiety. We are in the process of varying the linker length of NPA-based fluorophore derivatives, to optimize the effects of intramolecular photostabilization.

Figure 9 | Use of photostabilizer–dye conjugates in confocal and super-resolution STED microscopy of immunolabelled cells. Data from NPCs in fixed mammalian PtK2 cells immunolabelled with KK114 and NPA–KK114 Confocal (a) and STED (b) images of a representative cell stained with NPA–KK114 (scale bars, 5 µm). (c) Normalized intensity profile along the dashed line in the white boxes marked in the images of a, b, exemplifying how neighbouring NPCs can be much better resolved in the STED (red) compared with the confocal (black) recordings. (d–f) Repeated scanning of the same area of the cells in the STED mode indicates reduced photobleaching in the case of NPA–KK114 compared with KK114: images 1, 4 and 8 for KK114 (d) and NPA–KK114 (e) (scale bars, 1 µm), and (f) bleaching constant from an exponential fit in terms of number of images for KK114 and NPA–KK114 (total number of subsequent images recorded = 30, n = 4).
photostabilization and to become fully competitive with solution additives as shown in ref. 19.

We finally suggest that UAA scaffolding could be extended beyond photostabilization, to provide a general framework for the manipulation of fluorophore properties. Potentially interesting UAs feature antioxidants, triplet sensitizers, photoswitchable molecules to induce blinking for localization-based super-resolution microscopy2–4,46,47, alter water solubility48 or the UAAs feature antioxidants, triplet sensitizers, photoswitchable manipulation of fluorophore properties. Potentially interesting additives as shown in ref. 19.

In summary, we introduced a versatile and simple design concept to synthesize photostabilizer–dye conjugates on a specific target using UAs. The strategy is based on a straightforward and modular synthesis route using amide bond formation and click chemistry with commercially available starting materials. The UAs NPA and PG were used as a scaffold to link rhodamine, carboxy-bis-amino and cyanine fluorophores covalently to a photostabilizer on a biomolecular target, such as DNA, antibodies and proteins in our case study. We are convinced, however, that other targets (RNA, affinity tags and so on) can also be labelled via similar means, while maintaining the positive effects of photostabilization on these targets. All studied compounds show a considerable increase in photostability and a suppression of triplet-based blinking compared to the non-stabilized parent fluorophore. With this, we are the first to test intramolecular photostabilization for various classes of organic fluorophores and show that intramolecular triplet-state quenching is a generally applicable method. The approach allows labelling of biomolecules in a single step with the same effort as for commercially available reactive fluorophore derivatives. Finally, photostabilizer–dye conjugates were used in two state-of-the-art applications, that is, the study of conformational changes in proteins via smFRET and super-resolution imaging using STED microscopy. We are convinced that the presented strategy will stimulate broader use of intramolecular photostabilization and help to emerge this strategy to the new gold standard for photostabilization.

**Methods**

**Synthesis of photostabilizer–dye conjugates and precursors.** All reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification, unless stated otherwise. G75 fluorophores were obtained from Lumiprobe (Germany). *Alexa555-NHS* was obtained from Life Technologies (USA) and *ATTO647N-NHS* from ATTOTEC (Germany). Synthetic oligonucleotides (NH₂–C₆–5’–TAA TAT TCG ATT CCT TAC TAT ATT GCA TAG CTA TAG G–3’) were received in HPLC-purified quality from IBA or Eurofins (Germany). *TX–NHS* was synthesized following a published procedure49. A Varian 400 (400 and 100 MHz) and Varian 500 MHz were used to record 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR spectra. Liquid chromatography–mass spectra were recorded on Waters Acquity H-class UPLC equipped with TUV detector and a Xevo G2 TOF mass detector from Waters Chromatography BV. Flash chromatography was performed using a Grace Revelers Flash System (40-µm silica column).

**Purification of functionalized oligonucleotides.** The functionalized oligonucleotides were purified on an Illustra NAP 5 column loaded with Sephadex G-25 DNA Grade material obtained from GE Healthcare. Illustra NAP 5 columns were equilibrated with 3 × 5 ml of eluent before use (50 mM triethylammonium acetate (TEAA) buffer, pH 7.0, or water for Fmoc-protected oligonucleotide 3 and 12). After the reaction, the oligonucleotide sample was diluted with eluent to a final volume of 0.5 ml and added to the column. After the sample was loaded, 1 ml of eluent was added and the purified oligonucleotide was collected in one port. The oligonucleotide solution was lyophilized (Christ Alpha 2–4 LD plus freeze dryer) directly after collection.

**Isolation and characterization of functionalized oligonucleotides.** Reverse-phase HPLC (r–HPLC) analysis and preparative purifications (isolation) was performed on a Shimadzu LC-10AD VP machine equipped with Waters Xterra MS C18 column (3.0 × 150 mm, particle size 3.5 µm) and Waters Xterra MS C18 prep column (7.8 × 150 mm, particle size 10 µm) using a gradient of acetonitrile/TEAA buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0). Gradient 1: 0/55%, 0–10 min, to 65/35 at 60 min, to 75/25 at 65 min, to 95/5 at 75 min for 15 min. Gradient 2: 0/95%, 0–10 min, to 35/65 at 60 min, to 35/65 at 65 min, to 95/5 at 70 min for 20 min. Flow 0.5 ml min⁻¹ analytical run or 1.0 ml min⁻¹ preparative run. The DNA was isolated by collecting the major peak of interest (see Supplementary Fig. 1). The resulting oligonucleotide samples were characterized by 1H-NMR (in D2O) (Supplementary Fig. 2a) and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Supplementary Fig. 2b). Spectra were recorded on an ABI Voyager DE-PRO MALDI-TOF (delayed extraction reflector) Biospectrometry Workstation mass spectrometer.

**Synthesis of ssDNA–NPA–Alexa555–ATTO647N–Cy5.** Step 1: the lyophilized ssDNA–NPA–MiilO buffer and added to 80 µM in 0.2 M NaHCO₃ buffer, pH 8.35. To 100 µl of this solution, the same volume of a 20 mg ml⁻¹ solution of 2 in DMF was added and the mixture was vortexed thoroughly. If necessary, additional DMF was added in 10 µl portions, to obtain a clear solution. After the reaction at room temperature overnight, 3 was purified on Illustra NAP 5 column (vide supra). MiilO buffer was used as eluent for purification on Illustra NAP 5 columns, to prevent partial deprotection of the Fmoc group, and isolated by preparative rp–HPLC (Supplementary Fig. 2, gradient 1, vide supra), to yield ssDNA–NPA–Fmoc 3. Step 2: Fmoc deprotection was performed as follows. The HPLC-purified and lyophilized oligonucleotide was resuspended in 1 in 50 mM TEAA buffer, pH 7.0 and added to 40 µl DMF and 10 µl pipetidine. The mixture was vortexed and allowed to react for 2 h at room temperature. The deprotected oligonucleotide was purified on Illustra NAP 5 column (vide supra) and lyophilized to yield 4. Step 3: coupling with fluorophore NHS was achieved as follows. Cy5–NHS as obtained from Lumiprobe, ADN–NPA–MiilO buffer and added to 80 µM in 0.2 M NaHCO₃ buffer, pH 8.35. To this solution, one portion of fluorophore NHS dissolved in 10 µl of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added and the mixture was vortexed thoroughly. After incubation overnight the oligonucleotide was purified on Illustra NAP 5 column (vide supra) and isolated by preparative rp–HPLC (gradient 1, vide supra) to yield 5 and 7 (see Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1). Synthesis of ssDNA–TX–PG–Cy5. Step 1: the lyophilized ssDNA was resuspended in MiilO buffer and adjusted to 80 µM in 0.2 M NaHCO₃ buffer, pH 8.35. To 100 µl of this solution, the same volume of a 20 mg ml⁻¹ solution of 11 in DMF was added and the mixture was vortexed thoroughly. If necessary, additional DMF was added in 10 µl portions, to obtain a clear solution. After the reaction at room temperature overnight, the oligonucleotide was purified on Illustra NAP 5 column and isolated by preparative rp–HPLC (Supplementary Fig. 1, gradient 2, vide supra) to yield 12. Step 2: coupling with Cy5–NHS was achieved following a modified manufacturer protocol (Lumiprobe). After every addition step the mixture was vortexed briefly. The HPLC-purified and lyophilized oligonucleotide was resuspended in 12 (~2 nmol) was resuspended in 7.5 µl of 2 M TEAA buffer, pH 7.0 and added into a 0.5 ml tube. To this solution, 8 µl MiilO buffer and 7 µl of DMSO were added. A 15-nmol portion of Cy5–NHS was dissolved in 40 µl of DMSO and added to this mixture. 10 µl of 5 mM stock solution of acetic acid in MiilO buffer was added. The solution was degassed by a stream of N₂ for 30 and finally 5 s of a 10 mM solution of Cu(II)–tris(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methylamine in 55% DMSO/MiilO buffer was added. The vial was flushed with N₂, closed and sealed with Parafilm. After incubation overnight the oligonucleotide was purified on Illustra NAP 5 column (gradient 2, vide supra) and isolated by preparative rp–HPLC (vide supra) to yield 13 (Supplementary Fig. 1).

**Synthesis of ssDNA–Alexa555–ATTO647N–Cy5.** Step 1: *Alexa555–NHS* was obtained from Life Technologies and *ATTO647N-NHS* from ATTOTEC; all samples were evenly distributed into ~300 nmol portions inside a glove box. Each portion was sealed with Parafilm and kept in the dark at ~18 °C. Lyophilized 4 (~2 nmol) was resuspended in 50 µl of 0.2 M NaHCO₃ buffer, pH 8.35. To this solution, one portion of fluorophore NHS dissolved in 10 µl of DMSO was added and the mixture was vortexed thoroughly. After incubation overnight the oligonucleotide was purified on Illustra NAP 5 column (vide supra) and isolated by preparative rp–HPLC (gradient 1, vide supra) to yield 14 (vide supra).

**Synthesis of ssDNA–NPA–Rhodamine–Cy5.** Step 1: the lyophilized ssDNA–NH₂ was resuspended in MiilO buffer and adjusted to 20 µM in 0.2 M NaHCO₃ buffer, pH 8.35. To this solution, one portion of ssDNA–NPA–ATTO647N–Cy5 dissolved in 10 µl of DMSO was added and the mixture was vortexed thoroughly. After incubation overnight the oligonucleotide was purified with an Illustra NAP 5 column (vide supra) and isolated by preparative rp–HPLC (gradient 1, vide supra).

**Synthesis of ssDNA–NPA–Rhodamine–Cy5.** Step 1: the lyophilized ssDNA–NH₂ was resuspended in MiilO buffer and adjusted to 20 µM in 0.2 M NaHCO₃ buffer, pH 8.35. To this solution, one portion of ssDNA–NPA–AXA555–ATTO647N–Cy5 dissolved in 10 µl of DMSO was added and the mixture was vortexed thoroughly. After incubation overnight the oligonucleotide was purified with an Illustra NAP 5 column (vide supra) and isolated by preparative rp–HPLC (gradient 1, vide supra).
Stochastic labelling with maleimide derivatives of donor and acceptor fluorophores was carried out on ~5 nmol of protein; SBD, derivatives were labelled with RhodamineB–N/P–RhodamineB–maleimide (donor) and MK114–maleimide (acceptor) in a ratio of protein:donor:acceptor of 1:6:8. Briefly, purified proteins were treated with DTT (10 mM, 30 min), to fully reduce oxidized cysteines. After dilution to a sample to a DTT concentration of 50 mM, the protein was bound to a Ni²⁺–Sepharose resin (GE Healthcare) and washed with ten column volumes of 50 mM KPi, pH 7.4, 150 mM KCl and 5% glycerol (buffer B). Simultaneously, the applied fluorophore stocks (50 nmol (s)) dissolved in 5 μl of anhydrous DMSO were added at appropriate amounts to buffer B and immediately applied to the protein bound to the Ni²⁺–Sepharose resin (keeping the final DMSO concentration below 1%). The resin was incubated overnight and kept at 4°C (under mild agitation). After labelling, unreacted dye was removed by sequential washing with ten column volumes of buffer B, and this was followed by 100 column volumes of 50 mM KPi, pH 7.4, 1 M KCl and 50% glycerol. The protein was eluted in 1 ml of 50 mM KPi, pH 7.4, 150 mM KCl, 5% glycerol and 500 mM imidazole, and was analyzed on a Superdex-200 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 50 mM KPi, pH 7.4, and 200 mM KCl.

Microscopy and sample preparation. Sample preparation and surface immobilization of oligonucleotides. Immobilization and investigation of single fluorophores was achieved using a dsDNA scaffold comprising two 40-mer oligonucleotides, that is, ssDNA fluorophore and ssDNA biotin. Sequences of both oligomers were adapted from refs 12, 24, 25. As a non-stabilized control we used ssDNA fluorophore (5'-CGT ATA GCT ATGCAA TAT AAG TGT AAG GAA-3' with a rate of 1 s⁻¹; as received from Eurofins and IBA). Single immobilized fluorophore molecules were studied in Lab-Tek eight-well-chambered cover slips (NalgeNunc International, Naperville, IL) coated with a solution of streptavidin was incubated for 10 min and subsequently rinsed with PBS buffer.

The immobilization of dsDNA was achieved via a biotin–streptavidin interaction using pre-annealed dsDNA with the aim to observe single emitters for PBS buffer. The applied fluorophore stocks (50 nmol (s)) dissolved in 5 μl of anhydrous DMSO were added at appropriate amounts to buffer B and immediately applied to the protein bound to the Ni²⁺–Sepharose resin (keeping the final DMSO concentration below 1%). The resin was incubated overnight and kept at 4°C (under mild agitation). After labelling, unreacted dye was removed by sequential washing with ten column volumes of buffer B and this was followed by 100 column volumes of 50 mM KPi, pH 7.4, 1 M KCl and 50% glycerol. The protein was eluted in 1 ml of 50 mM KPi, pH 7.4, 150 mM KCl, 5% glycerol and 500 mM imidazole, and was analyzed on a Superdex-200 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 50 mM KPi, pH 7.4, and 200 mM KCl.

Microscopy and sample preparation. Sample preparation and surface immobilization of oligonucleotides. Immobilization and investigation of single fluorophores was achieved using a dsDNA scaffold comprising two 40-mer oligonucleotides, that is, ssDNA fluorophore and ssDNA biotin. Sequences of both oligomers were adapted from refs 12, 24, 25. As a non-stabilized control we used ssDNA fluorophore (5'-CGT ATA GCT ATGCAA TAT AAG TGT AAG GAA-3' with a rate of 1 s⁻¹; as received from Eurofins and IBA). Single immobilized fluorophore molecules were studied in Lab-Tek eight-well-chambered cover slips (NalgeNunc International, Naperville, IL) coated with a solution of streptavidin was incubated for 10 min and subsequently rinsed with PBS buffer.

The immobilization of dsDNA was achieved via a biotin–streptavidin interaction using pre-annealed dsDNA with the aim to observe single emitters for prolonged time periods and to guaranty free rotation of fluorophores. For this, 5–50 μl of a 1 μM solution of ssDNA fluorophore, ssDNA-N/P–fluorophore or ssDNA-TX-PG-Cy5 was mixed with the complementary ssDNA biotin at the same concentration (Biotin 5'-GGT AGA CAT GTC AAT TGG AAG GAA TCT GAT TAC ATT A-3', used as received from IBA). The respective mixtures of two oligomers were incubated at 4°C for 4 min and cooled down to 0°C at a rate of 1°C min⁻¹ in annealing buffer (50 mM sodium chloride and 137 mM sodium chloride at pH 7.4; Sigma Aldrich, The Netherlands), each chamber was incubated with a mixture of 5 µg per 800 ml BSA and 1 mg per 800 ml BSA (Sigma Aldrich) at 4°C in an incubator overnight. After rinsing with PBS buffer, a 0.2-μg/ml solution of streptavidin was incubated for 10 min and subsequently rinsed with PBS buffer. The immobilization of dsDNA was achieved via a biotin–streptavidin interaction using pre-annealed dsDNA with the aim to observe single emitters for prolonged time periods and to guaranty free rotation of fluorophores. For this, 5–50 μl of a 1 μM solution of ssDNA fluorophore, ssDNA-N/P–fluorophore or ssDNA-TX-PG-Cy5 was mixed with the complementary ssDNA biotin at the same concentration (Biotin 5'-GGT AGA CAT GTC AAT TGG AAG GAA TCT GAT TAC ATT A-3', used as received from IBA). The respective mixtures of two oligomers were incubated at 4°C for 4 min and cooled down to 0°C at a rate of 1°C min⁻¹ in annealing buffer (50 mM sodium chloride and 137 mM sodium chloride at pH 7.4; Sigma Aldrich, The Netherlands), each chamber was incubated with a mixture of 5 µg per 800 ml BSA and 1 mg per 800 ml BSA (Sigma Aldrich) at 4°C in an incubator overnight. After rinsing with PBS buffer, a 0.2-μg/ml solution of streptavidin was incubated for 10 min and subsequently rinsed with PBS buffer.

The immobilization of dsDNA was achieved via a biotin–streptavidin interaction using pre-annealed dsDNA with the aim to observe single emitters for prolonged time periods and to guaranty free rotation of fluorophores. For this, 5–50 μl of a 1 μM solution of ssDNA fluorophore, ssDNA-N/P–fluorophore or ssDNA-TX-PG-Cy5 was mixed with the complementary ssDNA biotin at the same concentration (Biotin 5'-GGT AGA CAT GTC AAT TGG AAG GAA TCT GAT TAC ATT A-3', used as received from IBA). The respective mixtures of two oligomers were incubated at 4°C for 4 min and cooled down to 0°C at a rate of 1°C min⁻¹ in annealing buffer (50 mM sodium chloride and 137 mM sodium chloride at pH 7.4; Sigma Aldrich, The Netherlands), each chamber was incubated with a mixture of 5 µg per 800 ml BSA and 1 mg per 800 ml BSA (Sigma Aldrich) at 4°C in an incubator overnight. After rinsing with PBS buffer, a 0.2-μg/ml solution of streptavidin was incubated for 10 min and subsequently rinsed with PBS buffer.

The immobilization of dsDNA was achieved via a biotin–streptavidin interaction using pre-annealed dsDNA with the aim to observe single emitters for prolonged time periods and to guaranty free rotation of fluorophores. For this, 5–50 μl of a 1 μM solution of ssDNA fluorophore, ssDNA-N/P–fluorophore or ssDNA-TX-PG-Cy5 was mixed with the complementary ssDNA biotin at the same concentration (Biotin 5'-GGT AGA CAT GTC AAT TGG AAG GAA TCT GAT TAC ATT A-3', used as received from IBA). The respective mixtures of two oligomers were incubated at 4°C for 4 min and cooled down to 0°C at a rate of 1°C min⁻¹ in annealing buffer (50 mM sodium chloride and 137 mM sodium chloride at pH 7.4; Sigma Aldrich, The Netherlands), each chamber was incubated with a mixture of 5 µg per 800 ml BSA and 1 mg per 800 ml BSA (Sigma Aldrich) at 4°C in an incubator overnight. After rinsing with PBS buffer, a 0.2-μg/ml solution of streptavidin was incubated for 10 min and subsequently rinsed with PBS buffer.

The immobilization of dsDNA was achieved via a biotin–streptavidin interaction using pre-annealed dsDNA with the aim to observe single emitters for prolonged time periods and to guaranty free rotation of fluorophores. For this, 5–50 μl of a 1 μM solution of ssDNA fluorophore, ssDNA-N/P–fluorophore or ssDNA-TX-PG-Cy5 was mixed with the complementary ssDNA biotin at the same concentration (Biotin 5'-GGT AGA CAT GTC AAT TGG AAG GAA TCT GAT TAC ATT A-3', used as received from IBA). The respective mixtures of two oligomers were incubated at 4°C for 4 min and cooled down to 0°C at a rate of 1°C min⁻¹ in annealing buffer (50 mM sodium chloride and 137 mM sodium chloride at pH 7.4; Sigma Aldrich, The Netherlands), each chamber was incubated with a mixture of 5 µg per 800 ml BSA and 1 mg per 800 ml BSA (Sigma Aldrich) at 4°C in an incubator overnight. After rinsing with PBS buffer, a 0.2-μg/ml solution of streptavidin was incubated for 10 min and subsequently rinsed with PBS buffer.

The immobilization of dsDNA was achieved via a biotin–streptavidin interaction using pre-annealed dsDNA with the aim to observe single emitters for prolonged time periods and to guaranty free rotation of fluorophores. For this, 5–50 μl of a 1 μM solution of ssDNA fluorophore, ssDNA-N/P–fluorophore or ssDNA-TX-PG-Cy5 was mixed with the complementary ssDNA biotin at the same concentration (Biotin 5'-GGT AGA CAT GTC AAT TGG AAG GAA TCT GAT TAC ATT A-3', used as received from IBA). The respective mixtures of two oligomers were incubated at 4°C for 4 min and cooled down to 0°C at a rate of 1°C min⁻¹ in annealing buffer (50 mM sodium chloride and 137 mM sodium chloride at pH 7.4; Sigma Aldrich, The Netherlands), each chamber was incubated with a mixture of 5 µg per 800 ml BSA and 1 mg per 800 ml BSA (Sigma Aldrich) at 4°C in an incubator overnight. After rinsing with PBS buffer, a 0.2-μg/ml solution of streptavidin was incubated for 10 min and subsequently rinsed with PBS buffer.
STED and confocal microscopy, STED microscopy and the corresponding confocal microscopy was performed on an Abberror Instruments Resolf microscope (Abberror Instruments, Gottingen, Germany) to which a TiSa STED laser was added (MaTiA, Newtrop-Spectra Physics; as outlined in detail in ref. 52). Excitation was performed at 640 nm (LDH-D-C-640P laser, Picoquant) and STED at 1040 nm, at 80 MHz. Pulsing of the excitation laser was triggered by the TiSa laser using a photodiode (APS-100-01), an amplifier (CON-1TL, both from Becker & Hickl, Berlin, Germany) along with a ps-delay unit (either Abberror Instruments or LAS-01567, MDP/Laser2000 UK). A donut-shaped intensity distribution of the STED laser focus was realized by the incorporation of a vortex phase plate (VPP-1a, RPC Photonics, Rochester, NY) into the collimated STED beam. Laser focusing and fluorescence collection was done by an oil-immersion microscope objective (UPlanSapo ×100/1.4 oil, Olympus, Japan). The collected fluorescence was detected by an avalanche photodiode (SPCM-AQRH-13, Exolitas Technologies) with a 650–690 nm bandpass filter (670/40, AHP Analysetechnik). Immunodetection. Gno3576T human fibroblasts were fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, rinsed several times in PBS, permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 for 10 min, rinsed again several times in PBS and blocked in 2% BSA/5% FCS in PBS for 1 h. Samples were incubated with primary mouse antibodies, to stain nuclear pore diluted 1:500 in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Coverslips were washed five times in 1% BSA in PBS and then incubated for 30 min with goat anti-mouse antibodies (Abberror GmbH, Gottingen, Germany) diluted 1:250 in blocking buffer. Samples were washed five times in 1% BSA in PBS and mounted in the buffer system using an oxygen-scavenging system as used before in the single-molecule experiments.
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